# 2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: Interdisciplinary Molecular Sciences and Training Integrated Review Group

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 10:21:54 AM

1. Department or Agency 2. Fiscal Year

Department of Health and Human Services 2018

3b. GSA
3. Committee or Subcommittee

Committee No.

Interdisciplinary Molecular Sciences and Training Integrated

**Review Group** 

4. Is this New During Fiscal 5. Current 6. Expected Renewal 7. Expected Term

72191

Year? Charter Date Date

No 11/06/2009

8a. Was Terminated During 8b. Specific Termination 8c. Actual Term

FiscalYear? Authority Date

No

9. Agency Recommendation for Next10a. Legislation Req to 10b. Legislation

FiscalYear Terminate? Pending?

Continue Not Applicable Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority Authorized by Law

12. Specific Establishment 13. Effective 14. Committee 14c.

Authority Date Type Presidential?

42 U.S.C.282 (b)(16) 11/20/1985 Continuing No

**15. Description of Committee** Grant Review Committee

**16a. Total Number of** No Reports for this

**Reports** FiscalYear

17a. Open 0 17b. Closed 5 17c. Partially Closed 0 Other Activities 0 17d. Total 5 Meetings and Dates

Purpose	Start	End
NIH Peer Review	10/05/2017	- 10/06/2017
NIH Peer Review	02/06/2018	- 02/07/2018
NIH Peer Review	02/08/2018	- 02/09/2018
NIH Peer Review	05/31/2018	- 06/01/2018
NIH Peer Review	06/12/2018	- 06/13/2018

**Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 5** 

	Current FY	Next FY
18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members	\$65,800.00	\$65,800.00
18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00
18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff	\$384,570.00	\$391,877.00
18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00
18h(1) Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members	\$130,392,009	£132 495 00

18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members	\$0.00	\$0.00			
18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff	\$1,869.00	\$1,901.00			
18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants	\$0.00	\$0.00			
18c. Other(rents, user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.) \$298,402.00\$304,371.00					
18d. Total	\$881,033.00\$	896,444.00			
19. Federal Staff Support Years (FTE)	2.90	2.90			

#### 20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

Section 492 of the PHS Act states that "The Secretary...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of – (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts." This committee will be composed of recognized biomedical and behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront of research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant applications and/or contract proposals for research projects and for research and training activities in areas relevant to enabling bioanalytical and imaging technologies. During this reporting period the committee reviewed 453 applications requesting \$483,440,869.

#### 20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The members of this committee are authorities knowledgeable in the fields of enabling bioanalytical and imaging technologies.

#### 20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The committee and its subcommittees held 5 meetings during this reporting period.

## 20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

This committee will be composed of recognized biomedical and/or behavioral research authorities who represent the forefront or research and technical knowledge and who provide first-level merit review of highly scientific and technical research grant application and contract proposals. These evaluation and recommendations cannot be obtained from other sources because the specialized, complex nature of the applications and proposals requires a unique balance and breadth of experience not available on the NIH staff or from other established sources.

#### 20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

The meetings of the committee and its subcommittees were closed to the public for the review of grant applications. Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act permit the closing of meetings where discussion could reveal confidential

trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material and personal information, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

#### 21. Remarks

This committee did not produce any public reports during the fiscal year. Due to the assignment of responsibilities within the Center, the roles of committee designated federal official and committee decision maker are filled by the same individual. Zip Codes: Due to the large number of members associated with this committee, NIH staff are unable to provide individual zip codes for all members. Current individual meeting rosters, including zip codes are available on line at https://public.era.nih.gov/pubroster/.

#### **Designated Federal Officer**

Mark Caprara Chief, Interdisciplinary Molecular Sciences and Training IRG

	-	=		_
Committee Members	Start	End	Occupation	Member Designation
AGUILA, HECTOR			ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AI, HUIWANG			ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
AKSAN, ALPTEKIN			PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ASPINWALL, CRAIG	10/05/2017	10/06/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ASTHAGIRI, ANAND	06/12/2018	06/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BARKER, THOMAS	02/06/2018	02/07/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BARRON, ANNELISE	06/12/2018	06/13/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BELFIELD, KEVIN	07/01/2017	06/30/2019	DEAN	Peer Review Consultant Member
BEN-YAKAR, ADELA	06/12/2018	06/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BEWERSDORF, JOERG	07/01/2017	06/30/2023	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BOWSER, MICHAEL	05/31/2018	06/01/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRANCA, ROSA	02/08/2018	02/09/2018	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BREY, ERIC	02/06/2018	02/07/2018	PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRIDGE, CANDICE	10/05/2017	10/06/2017	ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
BRUSCHWEILER, RAFAEL	05/31/2018	06/01/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHA, JENNIFER	02/06/2018	02/07/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHEN, PENG	07/01/2017	06/30/2023	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHIU, DANIEL	07/02/2017	06/30/2019	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHONG, HYUN-SOON	10/05/2017	10/06/2017	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHOW, CHRISTINE	05/31/2018	06/01/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CORR, DAVID	02/06/2018	02/07/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CROOKS, RICHARD	02/08/2018	02/09/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CRUICKSHANK-QUINN,	05/31/2018	06/01/2018	RESEARCH INSTRUCTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
CHARMION				
CUI, BIANXIAO	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DENG, CHERI	06/12/2018	06/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DEO, SAPNA	05/31/2018	06/01/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
DEVARAJ, NEAL	07/01/2018	06/30/2024	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ERICKSON, DAVID	06/12/2018	06/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FAN, TERESA	07/01/2012	06/30/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FEINBERG, ADAM	02/06/2018	02/07/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FISHER, JOHN	02/06/2018	02/07/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FITZGERALD, MICHAEL	07/01/2018	06/30/2022	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
FU, JIANPING	02/06/2018	02/07/2018	ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GALE, BRUCE	06/12/2018	06/13/2018	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GARCIA, BENJAMIN	07/01/2018	06/30/2020	PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

GEORGE, STEVEN		06/30/2022 WILLIAM J. LINK PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GLOTZER, MICHAEL		02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GOMELSKY, MARK		02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GROSBERG, ANNA		06/13/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
GUELCHER, SCOTT		06/13/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAHN, KLAUS		06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAKANSSON, KRISTINA		02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HARTMAN, MATTHEW	05/31/2018	06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HAYES, DANIEL		06/13/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HENDON, CHRISTINE		06/30/2020 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HENRY, MICHAEL	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HE, XIAOMING	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HICKMAN, JAMES	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HILL, REGINALD	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HOLLAND, LISA	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HUANG, BO	07/01/2017	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HUANG, LAN	07/01/2015	06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HUGHES, THOMAS	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
HUMMON, AMANDA	07/01/2016	06/30/2020 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
IVANOV, ALEXANDER	05/31/2018	06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JANORKAR, AMOL	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JIA, XINQIAO	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
JULIAN, RYAN	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KELLY, KIMBERLY	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KENIS, PAUL	08/08/2013	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KHETANI, SALMAN	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KIANI, MOHAMMAD	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KIDAMBI, SRIVATSAN	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KOOMOA, DANA-LYNN	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
KUO, CATHERINE	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAI, JONATHAN	05/31/2018	06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LANDRY, MARKITA	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LAZAR, MARIA	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LECKBAND, DEBORAH	07/01/2018	06/30/2022 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LI, JUN	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIN, QIAO	05/31/2018	06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIII CHANCCHIN	02/09/2019	RESEARCH ASSOCIATE	Boor Boylow Consultant Member
LIU, CHANGCHUN	02/06/2016	02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIU, YALING	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIU, YANG	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LIU, YIMING	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LU, HANG	07/01/2017	06/30/2022 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
LU, H	07/01/2014	06/30/2020 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MAHAL, LARA	07/01/2012	06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MALY, THORSTEN	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MAO, CHUANBIN	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MA, PETER	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MECHREF, YEHIA	07/01/2018	06/30/2022 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MIERKE, DALE	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MINUS, MARILYN	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MIN, WEI	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MORSS CLYNE, ALISA	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
MYONG, SUA	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
NAID CHDUZUMAD	00/00/0040	DIRECTOR OF CHEMICAL	Deer Deview Organity 184
NAIR, SHRIKUMAR	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 DEVELOPMENT	Peer Review Consultant Member
NOMURA, DANIEL	05/31/2018	06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ODOM, TERI	07/01/2016	06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
OGLE, BRENDA	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

PETERSEN, BRYON		06/13/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PIERIBONE, VINCENT		06/13/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
POLFER, NICOLAS		02/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PORTER, MARC	07/07/2014	06/30/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
PRATT, KATHLEEN	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Regular Government Employee
OLANI MELILINI	05/04/0040	00/04/0040 05NIOD 07A55 00I5NTIOT	(RGE) Member
QIAN, WEI-JUN		06/01/2018 SENIOR STAFF SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
RATNER, DANIEL		02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REGE, KAUSHAL		06/13/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REINHARD, BJOERN		06/01/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
REINHART-KING, CYNTHIA		06/30/2022 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RENFROW, MATTHEW		06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ROBINSON, RENA		06/30/2024 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member Peer Review Consultant Member
RODER, HEINRICH		02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RUOTOLO, BRANDON		10/06/2017 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR 06/30/2020 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
RUSLING, JAMES		06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SALAITA, KHALID		10/06/2017 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SALOMON, ARTHUR SAUER-BUDGE, ALEXIS		06/30/2021 SENIOR RESEARCH SCIENTIST	
SCHULTZ, ZACHARY		06/30/2021 SENIOR RESEARCH SCIENTIST	Peer Review Consultant Member
SCHWEIKERT, EMILE		02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SHOWALTER, SCOTT		06/30/2022 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SIMMONS, CHELSEY		02/07/2018 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SOUTHERN, SARKA		10/06/2017 SCIENTIFIC DIRECTOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SOUZA, GLAUCO		10/06/2017 SCIENTIFIC DIRECTOR  10/06/2017 PRESIDENT AND CSO	Peer Review Consultant Member
SPENCE, DANA		02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
SPENCE, DANA	02/00/2010	DIRECTOR OF SPECTROSCOP	
SPENCER, KEVIN	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 DIVISION	Peer Review Consultant Member
ST CROIX, CLAUDETTE	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
STINE, KEITH	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TAMERLER, CANDAN	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
THAYUMANAVAN, SANKARAN	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TONER, MEHMET	07/01/2018	06/30/2022 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TRUSKEY, GEORGE	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TUCKER, CHANDRA	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
TYAGI, SANJAY	02/06/2018	02/07/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
VACHET, RICHARD	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 PROFESSOR AND HEAD	Peer Review Consultant Member
VU, TOTHU	05/31/2018	06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WANG, HONGJUN	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 PROFESSOR AND DEPARTMEN	IT Peer Review Consultant Member
WANG, PENG	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 PROFESSOR AND CHAIR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WANG, YINGXIAO		06/13/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WARREN, WARREN		06/30/2021 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WELIKY, DAVID		02/09/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WHITE, FOREST		06/01/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WHITELEGGE, JULIAN		06/30/2022 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WILLIAMS, EVAN		06/30/2020 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WILLIAMO, EVAN	00/14/2014	CO-FOUNDER AND CHIEF	1 cor review consultant womber
WOODS, ERIK	06/12/2018	06/13/2018 OFFICER	Peer Review Consultant Member
WYLIE, BENJAMIN	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
WYSOCKI, VICKI	07/01/2013	06/30/2019 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YANG, JENNY		06/01/2018 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
YU, YONGHAO	05/31/2018	06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHANG, HUI	07/01/2018	06/30/2022 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHANG, JIN	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHAO, WEIAN	02/08/2018	02/09/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHENG, JIE	05/31/2018	06/01/2018 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member
ZHENG, Y. GEORGE	10/05/2017	10/06/2017 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	Peer Review Consultant Member

Peer Review Consultant Member

Number of Committee Members Listed: 147

#### **Narrative Description**

The goal of NIH research is to acquire new knowledge to help prevent, detect, diagnose, and treat disease and disability, from the rarest genetic disorder to the common cold. The NIH mission is to uncover new knowledge that will lead to better health for everyone. NIH works toward that mission by supporting the research of non-Federal scientists in universities, medical schools, hospitals, and research institutions throughout the country and abroad. Section 492 of the PHS Act states that The Secretary ...shall by regulation require appropriate technical and scientific peer review of (A) applications...; and (B) biomedical and behavioral research and development contracts...

## What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee? Checked if Applies Improvements to health or safety Trust in government Major policy changes Advance in scientific research Effective grant making Improved service delivery Increased customer satisfaction Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements Other **Outcome Comments** NA What are the cost savings associated with this committee? Checked if Applies None Unable to Determine Under \$100,000 \$100,000 - \$500,000 \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 Over \$10,000,000

#### **Cost Savings Comments**

NIH supported basic and clinical research accomplishments often take many years to unfold into new diagnostic tests and new ways to treat and prevent diseases.

What is the approximate <u>Number</u> of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

2,289

#### **Number of Recommendations Comments**

**Grant Review** 

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Fully</u> implemented by the agency?

0%

#### % of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that make funding decisions.NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

What is the approximate <u>Percentage</u> of these recommendations that have been or will be <u>Partially</u> implemented by the agency?

#### % of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

The mission of the Center for Scientific Review is to provide the funding Institutes and Centers of NIH with the scientific and technical merit of research grant applications submitted to the NIH. It is these Institutes or Centers and their advisory councils that

make funding decisions. NIH Peer Review Committees are involved in the initial review of research grant applications. The NIH dual peer review system is mandated by statute in accordance with section 492 of the Public Health Service Act. The charge to this committee is to determine scientific and technical merit of the individual grants or contracts. These recommendations are forwarded to Federal officials who generally accept the committee's recommendations and favorable applications are then forwarded for the second level of review performed by Institute and Center (IC) National Advisory Councils or Boards. Only applications that are favorably recommended by both the initial peer review committee and the Advisory Council may be funded.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to

implement re	ecommendations	s or advice offered?	
Yes 🗸 No	Not Applica	able 🗀	
Agency Feed	dback Comments	S	
Institute Prog	ram Staff provides	s the committee with data pertaining to funding actions	
taken.			
What other a	ections has the a	gency taken as a result of the committee's advice or	<b>.</b>
recommenda	ation?		
		Checked if App	olies
Reorganized	Priorities		
Reallocated r	esources		
Issued new re	egulation		
Proposed leg	islation		
Approved gra	nts or other paym	nents	1

#### **Action Comments**

Other

An action of "approved" or "recommended" for grants receiving initial peer review by this committee does not infer that the grant will be or has been funded. Research grant applications submitted to NIH must go through a two-step review process that includes the initial peer review for scientific and technical merit and a second step of review and approval by a National Advisory Council for program relevance. In addition, prior to an award or funding being made, NIH staff must conduct an administrative review for a number of other considerations. These include alignment with NIH's funding principles, review of the project budget, assessment of the applicant's management systems, determination of applicant eligibility, and compliance with public policy requirements. After all these steps have been completed, NIH officials make funding decisions on individual

grant applications.

#### Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

Yes

What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants reviewed for approval	453
What is the estimated <b>Number</b> of grants recommended for approval	453
What is the estimated <b>Dollar Value</b> of grants recommended for approval	\$483,440,869

#### **Grant Review Comments**

**Grant Review** 

### How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Contact DFO
Online Agency Web Site
Online Committee Web Site
Online GSA FACA Web Site
Publications
Other

#### **Access Comments**

N/A